S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.3334/2006
Date : 07.08.2006

HON'BLE MR.SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. Sandeep Mehta for the applicant.
Mr. Vishnu Kachhawaha, Public Prosecutor.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

The contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that there is allegation that the accused-applicant was having Lathi in his hand and there appears no injury on the person of injured by Lathi. He submits that injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature.

On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application but has not controverted this statement that injuries on the person of the injured were simple in nature and was not caused by blunt object.

I have considered the submissions made before me and carefully gone through the material available on record.

Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, I think it just and proper to enlarge the accused applicant on bail.

Accordingly, the bail application filed under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed and it is directed that the applicant Kalu alias Umesh son of Uttaam Ram shall be released on bail in FIR No.88/2006 P.S. Shahpura, District Bhilwara, provided he executes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs.15,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.

vijayant